

Town of Northumberland
Planning Board
Location; Town Hall
Accepted by the Planning Board
Monday, February 08, 2021
7:00 pm
Page 1 of 9

Planning Board Members Present: Melanie Eggleston, Lisa Black, Jeff King, Brit Basinger Chad Reinemann and Holly Rippon-Butler

Members Absent: James Heber, Mary Beth McGarrahan and Susan Martindale, Chairperson

Town Employees Present: Dave Brennan, Town Counsel, Richard Colozza, Code Enforcement Officer and Tia Kilburn, Clerk

Acting Chair Jeff King opened the meeting and addressed all in attendance and asked them to stand and salute the flag at 7:04 pm. he stated due to Covid-19 meetings are being conducted differently including extra cleaning of the room, social distancing, mandatory masks and limited number of people at one time physically in attendance. The meeting was in compliance.

Quorum established.

Chair King introduced the first item on the agenda, public hearing for Application #0001-21, Centerline Communications Cell Tower site plan review.

Ms. Brenda Blask-Lewis introduced herself as representing At & T / Centerline Communications. She stated the Town's Attorney ask them to show coverage with increased height of the tower, if they go above 140' they would need a variance per the Town's regulations. She discussed the submitted exhibits, #4 shows poor coverage, #5 shows an extension on the existing Verizon tower at 96' and coverage does not improve. She stated Verizon's tower is not designed to be extended, they propose to build next to it at 116', the same height as Verizon's. She explained at 136' to 140' coverage improves for a larger area, she displayed increases of height in 20' increments.

Mr. Reinemann asked if the height played a roll in the consideration of a 2nd tower? Ms. Blask-Lewis replied it would depend, above 140' they would need a variance. Mr. King reiterated the current tower is at 120' and the maximum they can go is 140' without a variance and they cannot use Verizon's? Ms. Blask-Lewis stated it would be difficult because that tower was not designed to be extended. Mr. King said they did the study at the time that was reviewed. Ms. Blask-Lewis stated they are designing their tower to trick the eyes to think there is only one tower. Ms. Rippon-Butler asked how close they will build to the Verizon tower. Ms. Blask-Lewis replied 500', Ms. Eggleston asked if it was out of the collapse zone of Verizon's? Ms. Blask-Lewis said yes.

Discussion ensued on coverage at different heights and the possibility of another tower at a different location to increase coverage in Town.

Mr. Basinger asked if they have to do visual testing on this tower, Mr. Reinemann said if they go taller yes and if it is the same height it would be ok not to do additional testing. The Board agreed. Mr. King asked the Board if they are comfortable continuing with the proposed 120' or did they want to see it at 140' and stay within the regulations? Ms. Eggleston stated at 140' they would have to do a balloon fly like they did for Verizon's. The Board reviewed the statistics of coverage at different heights, Mr. Reinemann stated there is not a noticeable increase in coverage at 140'. Ms. Black stated she felt they would have to get the variance and go higher than 140' to 160' to get better coverage. The Board agreed to continue review for the 120' height.

Town of Northumberland
Planning Board
Location; Town Hall
Accepted by the Planning Board
Monday, February 08, 2021
7:00 pm
Page 2 of 9

Mr. Brennan, Town Counsel explained if 120' is all they need vs. going up to the 160' for better coverage it would require the foundation to be over built and the top of the tower to have a flange so it could be extended. He discussed three major cell service providers in NY, and stated if they built this so it could be extended it would give them options if they wanted to adjust it, he said the Town will eventually need another tower south to increase coverage to the Terrel Hills area and he did not see if at 199' feet for this tower would fix that problem. If they do want to go higher, they will have to do additional view shed analysis. Ms. Rippon-Butler stated she is comfortable with it at 120' but make it so it could be extended so they wouldn't have to see a 3rd tower at the same location. Mr. Brennan agreed, they can make it with a flange so in the future they could bolt on and go higher if needed, there are options. Ms. Blask-Lewis reiterated they will stay at the 120' with the ability to expand, the Board agreed.

Mr. King stated they will come back with more plans at 120', Mr. Colozza stated they will continue with the review and they just determined the height at 120'. Ms. Eggleston stated the application was signed by the applicant and asked if they needed the owner of the property to sign, the Clerk stated there is a letter of authorization in the file from the owners.

Mr. King announced the next item on the agenda, a mining permit site plan application # 0002-21 for Angelo Rosse. Mr. Rosse stated his representative Brian Norensky, of Advanced Geology Services (AEG) was unable to attend the meeting. He added he is here as a preliminary and explained they have been in touch with DEC verbally but he hasn't submitted the application to DEC yet but has been in contact with them to see what they have to do. He said Mr. Norensky will be submitting that application and he didn't want to postpone this meeting, he said he is here to field preliminary questions or concerns and Mr. Norensky will get back to this Board. Mr. King asked if there was a proposed amount of material they would be removing? Mr. Rosse stated Mr. Norensky is working on the plan, he has the calculations and he has it all the grades and survey map and he will figure out exactly how many yards will be removed and how to reclaim it later, Mr. Norensky does it for a living and has worked with DEC for many years. Ms. Rippon-Butler asked if he was proposing this to be able to build on the site. Mr. Rosse replied no, the land is for agricultural use only, it has a deed restriction when the subdivision was built, so that is why he is doing this, according to DEC for better drainage. Mr. Basinger asked if they were just going to level it out, Mr. Rosse replied he thinks Mr. Norensky is recommending 2 or 3 feet below a specific elevation, it is at Homestead and Virginia Place, so he is going to choose an elevation that is environmentally friendly for topography and land use. Ms. Rippon-Butler asked if he was planning an ag use for the future? Mr. Rosse explained for many years it was farmed by a local farmer, 1st by one and then by another, but they got out of it. He explained he is the founding executive officer of a not for profit for the last 6 years and they feed a lot of people and he has been in touch with a couple of different organizations on having them use the land to have a program to grow crops on it for the community. He added it will be agricultural and he has different ideas on what, it could be hay and corn. Mr. Reinemann asked if the lot was totally cleared, Mr. Rosse stated there are no trees on it, he had a farmer last year disc it and clean it up, this will solve a lot of issues. Over the last several years, summer and winter there have been a few complaints about neighborhood kids riding on a track inside the subdivision, he put up posted signs every 100', they ride their dirt bikes and quads and in the winter snowmobiles from people inside the subdivision and possibly outside the subdivision and he can't police it. He has approached several people when he does see them and asked them not to ride there, he has had multiple discussions with Mr. Colozza, Town Code Enforcement when he would call and say he received complaints, Mr. Rosse said he would ask for a couple days to do something, he added he thinks this project will help with that.

Mr. Brennan asked if he had an estimate of the mine, usually it is up to a 50' setback, Mr. Norensky told him that

Town of Northumberland
Planning Board
Location; Town Hall
Accepted by the Planning Board
Monday, February 08, 2021
7:00 pm
Page 3 of 9

the tree line that is part of the subdivision had to stay, discussion ensued on the tree line buffer. He added Mr. Norensky told him it would be the most environmentally friendly mining and he mentioned it could get within 25' of the tree line, Mr. Brennan then asked the total length of time to mine it out? Mr. Rosse stated there is 7.69 acres, he said Mr. Norensky is going to look at 1 to 5 is a certain fee and 5 to 10 is still a minor with more fees, there is 7.69 acres but they are not actually going to be doing the whole thing because they have to stay back from the lots, 2, 3 and 4 and also off Homestead it's graded so they won't take anything there, he then said he did not know the total, Mr. Brennan said when it gets to the point of a public hearing there is going to be the questions of is this going to be a year or 20 years? Mr. Rosse said he is unsure but it will not be 20 years, he believes probably 3 years. He explained his relationship with King Enterprises, they are going to be the applicant with DEC, they will hold the insurance he will be the landowner. He stated he had a letter he submitted to the file and he has more that says King Enterprises are going to be responsible for everything. He added in the past he had 2 operators a gentleman he terminated after he sold a building lot in the subdivision, the operator was taking from there and Mr. Rosse said he warned him not to drive the machine on the road, a trac hoe, and he did, he terminated him immediately. Mr. Rosse explained there were only 2 people taking dirt, King Enterprises with a 3-yard loader and him with the trac hoe, the total of trucks was 2 one for each. There was a complaint that said there was a fleet of trucks and multiple excavators, that was not accurate. To resolve those issues he developed a relationship with King Enterprises, they did work for him and they have agreed to be responsible for grading and they already put in a construction entrance per DEC and what they wanted, they will keep everything intact they will be the mining applicant and put up the bond with DEC, they will keep everything graded as they go along and seed it.

Mr. Reinemann asked what the zoning was, Mr. Colozza stated it is ag. Mr. Basinger asked if the construction entrance would go off Homestead, Mr. Rosse replied no, currently it is around 500' from the closest house, lot 2 it is on an angle so when they back up (conversation with DEC) they will back up at an angle towards Homestead Rd instead of having them backup toward landowners on lots 2, 3 & 4. Mr. Basinger agreed that might be a sticky point with the resident's, he asked if it would be better if they went off Homestead. Mr. Rosse agreed and said his concern was there is no traffic on Virginia Place only one way in and one way out and Homestead they go fast, he stated he had a conversation with Mr. Colozza, he suggested to have signs put up saying trucks entering, if the entrance was on Virginia Place have it 300 or 400' north of Virginia Place and south on Homestead, but if he has it on Homestead, he could work around that he stated he will do what the Board wants but he is concerned about that.

Ms. Eggleston asked if the excavation would be Monday – Friday, all day? Mr. Rosse said yes, they would not start before 7:30 am and in the past they have never worked on a Sunday and only work on Saturday if there is an emergency, so basically 7, 7:30 to 5, M-F but not every day, before it was one day then 3 days later or a week later, not an everyday operation. If somebody needs product for backfill a foundation or septic, it is as needed. It will not be an operation like Cranesville. Ms. Eggleston asked how many trucks? Mr. Rosse said a maximum of 2 trucks, tri axle 18 yards, on a tandem it's 14 yards, a standard dump truck you would see on the road is probably a tri axle, Ms. Eggleston asked how they were loaded, Mr. Rosse said a front loader, she asked if that would be staying on the property, Mr. Rosse replied yes the loader with only one operator King Enterprises due to safety, insurance reasons and people taking product and not telling him.

Ms. Eggleston asked what the erosion prevention plan, after it's done 3 years then you would plant grass? Mr. Rosse said no, he can't leave more than ½ acre at a time, you cannot clear 100,000 sq ft because of dust. You have to mulch and seed as you go that is all in the application DEC looks at.

Town of Northumberland
Planning Board
Location; Town Hall
Accepted by the Planning Board
Monday, February 08, 2021
7:00 pm
Page 4 of 9

Mr. Basinger stated DEC regulates the haul out numbers, does this Board regulate the hours of operation, Mr. Colozza stated the main thing is the traffic and hours of operation. He added they can have the same comments as DEC and DEC is going to issue a basic mining permit but one of the requirements is what this Board puts together with the Town's permit.

Mr. Reinemann stated it looks like an operation plan is in there, where it says how many trucks, Mr. Rosse said yes and Mr. Norensky has to list how many ton. Mr. Reinemann stated to clarify the setback it looks like 100' for mining in ag, Mr. Rosse said Mr. Norensky told him and he got all the stuff from the town with DEC you can go within 25' of the property line. Mr. Brennan interjected there are 2 things the Zoning Law and then Mine Land and Reclamation at the State level. Because of the amount of what is going to be removed, there are 2 sections in the Town Zoning Law, one that is exempt from the State's, basically 1,000 yards and if it is more than that it is regulated by the State. The Mine Land Reclamation Law has preempted the regulations of mines, this Boards jurisdiction is somewhat limited, basically the Towns jurisdiction does not go inside the mine to regulate how they operate, most site plans say the hours of operation, but the Courts have decided mining is regulated just by the State, this Board can regulate setbacks and what district they can go in but once the Town Board has done that it is more hands off than normal, you can comment to the State but you can't say he can't operate on Sunday's, that will come in the State permit. Mr. Reinemann reiterated what is in the Zoning is for mines that don't reach the threshold of the State. Mr. Brennan stated there are 2 provisions on page 53 & 54, 1 is exempt from State jurisdiction and #2 is under State jurisdiction, this will be considered #2, but if there are setbacks for mining under Zoning that will apply, the Town Board has allowed mining with set backs and some places have said they don't want any mining, it is subject to the Town's Zoning. If it is in the right district and location this Board cannot regulate how it operates. Mr. King said he agreed with Mr. Reinemann, in the regulations under the ag protection district there are set backs for mining at 100' all the way around, Mr. Brennan said that is the Law in the Town if they are proposing something different than that he can go to the Zoning Board, maybe on the 2 road frontages it would make sense to go 50' but the neighbors are going to say they want the full 100', Mr. Rosse stated there are no neighbors on the tree line side they are all on the other side, the land that is in question has never been used by them it has over growth, in the past lots 2, 3 & 4 the owners let them go up to the tree line, which is part of their property, for farming with their permission. He stated he has spoken with people on lots 3 and 4 and they are in favor and they can provide a letter to the Town. Mr. Brennan said if he wants to go to the 25' the State allows he will have to go to the Zoning Board and ask for a variance because this Board does not have the discretion to vary from the regulations. Mr. Rosse stated he was only going by what Mr. Norensky told him, maybe he is thinking just State and he didn't look at the Town Zoning.

Mr. King stated the Board may be getting ahead of themselves because he is going to come back with the DEC application. Mr. Basinger stated they are trying to be helpful and tell him what he needs, Mr. Rosse asked if he could get a book, the Clerk said yes he can come to the office.

Mr. King asked if Mr. Rosse had any other questions for the Board, none were noted, he asked the Board if they had any comments, none were noted.

Mr. King announced the next item, application #0003-2, Adam Kolasienski, minor 2 lot subdivision. Board reviewed the map. Mr. King asked the applicant to explain the proposal. Mr. Kolasienski explained his proposed subdivision and showed the Board where he would like to separate the lot into 2 lots. Mr. King reiterated there is a single lot with 2 existing dwellings, Mr. Kolasienski explained the trailer on the lot is condemned and will be removed. The possible buyer of the 2nd lot stated he will be cleaning up the lot and would like to place a small

Town of Northumberland
Planning Board
Location; Town Hall
Accepted by the Planning Board
Monday, February 08, 2021
7:00 pm
Page 5 of 9

single-family dwelling in the back of the lot. Mr. King asked the Board if there were any questions, Mr. Basinger asked if the lot sizes meet the Zoning, Mr. King stated zoning is Commercial Residential and the minimum is 1 acre for single family dwelling. Ms. Rippon-Butler asked about the business on the property, Mr. Kolasienski stated yes, he has a business and a single family in one structure. Mr. Brennan asked Mr. Colozza is there is enough road frontage when they split it? Mr. Colozza said he didn't have a map so he did not know. Discussion ensued; it was determined there is enough. Mr. King asked if they did any deep hole and perk testing, Mr. Kolasienski's buyer stated he had some documentation on that but it was only 12 degrees that day so they wouldn't do the perk test, but if it needs to be done, he will do it and he had the information for the initial deep hole test. Mr. King asked if there were existing well or septic, the applicant replied if there is it would be associated with the condemned building which is all abandoned and will be removed.

Mr. Reinemann stated the only thing he saw from a mapping perspective would be to identify the neighbors across the road. The applicant agreed. Mr. King asked the Board for their opinion, Mr. Reinemann stated they should schedule a public hearing for the following month. The Board agreed.

Ms. Eggleston asked if it needed the right to farm verbiage. Mr. King stated it is in the C/R zone and they don't require it on the commercial projects. Mr. Colozza stated it was easy enough to add and he stated the office can give him the language to have it put on there.

Ms. Rippon-Butler asked about the previous lot line adjustment on the map. Mr. Kolasienski said in about 2010 they did a lot line adjustment with the neighbor and that will be cleared up on the map.

Mr. Reinemann made a motion to schedule a public hearing for March 8th,
Mr. Basinger 2nd the motion,
All in attendance unanimously agreed.

Mr. King requested they add the names of the property owners around the parcel and across the road and the Board would schedule the public hearing.

Mr. King then said they would move on to old business and announced Application #0008-20, Pink Pup, Tim Pink. He stated where the Board left off at the last meeting, Mr. Pink said the Board was discussing additional noise ordinances and kind of got stuck with the vagueness of them. Ms. Rippon-Butler interjected potentially proposing restrictions about complaints or convictions. Mr. King explained about number of complaints and the possibility of his ability to operate being suspended if he had to many complaints. He asked Mr. Brennan if the Chair or Mr. Colozza got in touch with him regarding that? Mr. Brennan said yes, Mr. King stated the Board is looking for feedback for something that will give the Town the ability to control the noise problems if there happens to be any. Mr. Brennan stated the Board does have the ability to impose conditions on an approval, typically you can issue the permit or issue the permit subject to renewal if you want to craft a condition you may not want to make your self (this Board) the Judge and Jury of the issue. In the Town the dog control officer is vested with the jurisdiction in the code book for noise complaints for barking dogs and nuisance type things. The way to set it up is if he receives complaints and they are adjudicated through the Town Court and there is a finding they are sustained with convictions the Board will have to come up with a number of how many convictions before you revoke his permit. Ms. Rippon-Butler agreed and said they were debating on that and how to set the number. Mr. King said some of the discussion was how much this Board was responsible vs. the dog control officer. Mr. Reinemann asked what the time frame of a complaint was vs. it working through the Court being adjudicated, Mr.

Town of Northumberland
Planning Board
Location; Town Hall
Accepted by the Planning Board
Monday, February 08, 2021
7:00 pm
Page 6 of 9

Brennan replied usually it goes fairly quick, however, recent cases have taken from October until January partially because of the Court system being shut down because of Co-Vid, normally about 2 months but that went to 4 months. Mr. Brennan added in that case that is not to far from this location there were about 6 or 7 people come in and testified instead of one person complaining, it was a neighborhood issue.

Mr. King asked if they put a restriction on the special permit, if the owner of the business received a certain number of complaints or convictions by a Judge, he asked Mr. Brennan what would be the level it would be enforceable, would it have to be a conviction? Mr. Brennan said it would have to be a conviction then you have the element of due process satisfied, anybody can complain, it's after a conviction after everything has been heard. He added the difference between this one and the previous dog issue is this one is going to be staffed the other situation the dogs were tied up outside and they bark until they stop. He added this can be addressed with conditions imposed and you would have to bring the applicant back in and discuss what has to be fixed if there is a problem. Mr. Basinger asked Mr. Brennan is he had ever written relevant language for any of his other municipal communities, he added he didn't want to just make something up, it should be grounded. Mr. Brennan stated he has not done it for another community, he suggests they have a condition the special use permit be renewable, a year seems extreme, maybe every three years and also come in if there have been 2 noise complaints to trigger it, he suggested discussion to come up with a resolution to address it. Mr. Basinger said 2 years would be better 3 years is a long time. Mr. Reinemann asked if there are no complaints he comes back in, he added he felt the complaints should be a trigger. Mr. Brennan stated he is trying to balance that, there are some places that say the special use permits expire after 3 to 5 years no matter what. Discussion ensued on reasonable conditions.

Mr. Pink stated his concern is the vagueness of the conditions, his business will be doing a lot of training and will have staff with the dogs, but there will still be a certain level of noise. He stated Mr. Colozza said the dog business next door has received a lot of complaints, Mr. Colozza said the complaints were for the private home down the road.

Mr. King suggested Mr. Pink meet with the Dog Control Officer, Ed Crosse and get a letter or a report, or he can come up with another plan to solve issues with barking dogs. Mr. Colozza stated if they want Mr. Crosse to attend a meeting or get involved, they will have to establish an escrow account for payment, Mr. Brennan asked if Mr. Crosse was paid to attend meetings, Mr. Colozza replied he gets paid for what he does. Mr. Basinger asked Mr. Pink his thoughts, Mr. Pink stated he went over the Town's Zoning and noise ordinance and thought this would fit, they would only be outside about 2 hours a day. Mr. King suggested Mr. Pink talk to Mr. Crosse and Mr. Pink agreed he would on his own time. Mr. Brennan suggested language to put in the approval;

The Special Use Permit is subject to additional review and a public hearing by the Planning Board to impose additional conditions and restrictions on the operation in there are three (3) convictions in any twenty-four (24) month period based on the date of the offense.

Discussion ensued and Mr. King stated that verbiage is what they will base the vote on and asked Mr. Pink if he felt comfortable moving forward at this time. Mr. Pink responded no, he did not he would like to talk to Mr. Crosse.

Mr. King announced the next item on the agenda, subdivision application #0010-20, Mr. Spencer. Mr. Newman, Mr. Spencer's Engineer stated there was a change in the plans, down to 43 acres now off Colebrook Rd, there are now 2 proposed cal-de-sacs, there are 2 wet lands, one is regulated by Army Corp, 1 acre and the other is regulated

Town of Northumberland
Planning Board
Location; Town Hall
Accepted by the Planning Board
Monday, February 08, 2021
7:00 pm
Page 7 of 9

by DEC the Coldbrook stream. There is a 100' buffer from them and they are in the process now of getting stuff to and from DEC. He stated this plan is similar to the previous, the difference is there is no Home Owners Association therefore the wetlands will be owned by each lot owner there will be deed restrictions placed on what is allowable. He explained they are now proposing 13 lots and the 14th lot would be for future access to the other lot behind it. There will be minor disturbances to the wetland for the Road and they will get all permits from Army Corp. He stated they have down soil test, He explained the cal-de-sacs will support a 45' vehicle and also a tractor trailer, they are hoping to advance the project tonight.

Mr. Brennan asked if there were a Right of Way for the separate parcel, discussion ensued on leaving the 14th lot for access.

Mr. Colozza stated the Fire Department and Highway Department are concerned with the size of the cal-de-sacs and they do not meet Town Regulations. Diameter of the cal-de-sacs was discussed. Mr. Colozza stated they can wait and hear from the Town's Engineer at the next meeting, Mr. Newman agreed.

Ms. Eggleston asked what the maximum length of a driveway was before they need a turn around? She added such as #9 is long, Mr. Newman stated 500', Mr. Colozza stated it goes by the fire code. Mr. Newman said they were trying to get to a preliminary review and he will put more detail in the plan.

Mr. Reinemann asked if they knew the location of the adjacent septic's? Mr. Newman stated the proposed septic's are now in the back and they can flip the wells to the front.

Mr. King discussed the number of lots and asked if lot #14 was buildable, Mr. Newman stated it was for access to the lot to the north, Mr. King discussed the allowable lots for a conservation subdivision, Mr. Newman interjected lot 14 is for a road or stormwater, Mr. King reiterated there would be no building, Mr. Newman said no house. Discussion ensued Mr. Newman stated he would change the description on the map for lot #14 and it will not be included in the density of the subdivision. Mr. King said they may need no further construction on the map for the parcel.

Mr. King asked if there were any questions or comments, Mr. Countermine a member of the public stated he understood it was not a public hearing and asked to speak, Mr. King allowed it. Mr. Countermine asked if the road going in next to 474 Colebrook Rd would have a buffer and what the width would be. Mr. Newman replied yes, it will be a standard boulevard with an entrance and an exit. Mr. Colozza asked if they did a study for site distance from Lindsay Hill Rd, Mr. Newman replied not yet.

Mr. Countermine stated when they built Brampton Woods he had to re-drill his well three times and asked how they would be protected this time, Mr. Spencer stated they have done and will do more test pits and look at drainage and Mr. Newman agreed. Mr. Basinger suggested they have the Town Engineer review this and appear at the next meeting.

Ms. Rippon-Butler asked if there would be any public access to the wetlands, Mr. Spencer stated he wanted to keep it a conservation design. Mr. Colozza asked if the water was navigable? Mr. Newman replied it is labeled by Army Corp as not. Mr. Spencer interjected the Colebrook may be able to handle a small kayak, Mr. Colozza stated the County did a study and that maybe what Ms. Rippon Butler is asking. Ms. Rippon Butler replied a trail easement or something could be an asset to the Town.

Town of Northumberland
Planning Board
Location; Town Hall
Accepted by the Planning Board
Monday, February 08, 2021
7:00 pm
Page 8 of 9

Mr. Colozza suggested clustered mailboxes or a paved area so they could be set back along with garbage cans for the plow season.

Mr. Reinemann asked if the buildings are setback from the easement line, Mr. Newman responded some are the same, Mr. Reinemann reiterated they could build up to the setback, Mr. Basinger stated it is hard to regulate but they could make building envelopes. Mr. Reinemann said they have a 75' setback so they will not be in the other backyards, Mr. Basinger said these are tight lots. Mr. Newman interjected they will have restrictions on the well & Septic's depending on the location of the neighbors.

Mr. Colozza stated the Highway Superintendent requested no solid structures in the boulevard and he asked who will maintain the sign and the grasses in the center of the cal-de-sacs. Mr. Newman stated there will be no sign.

Mr. King said they will ask the Town's Engineer for further review, Mr. Newman stated they may seek a variance for the cal-de-sacs and asked if he could have a copy of the letter from the Firehouse and Highway Superintendent.

Mr. King asked if there were any other business for the Board. The Clerk stated they had to get caught up on minutes approvals.

Ms. Rippon Butler made a motion to accept the January 2021 meeting minutes,
Ms. Eggleston 2nd the motion.
All in attendance unanimously agreed.

Ms. Eggleston made a motion to accept the December 2020 meeting minutes,
Ms. Black 2nd the motion.
All in attendance unanimously agreed.

Ms. Eggleston made a motion to accept the November 2020 meeting minutes,
Mr. Basinger 2nd the motion.
All in attendance unanimously agreed.

Ms. Eggleston made a motion to accept the October 2020 meeting minutes,
Mr. Basinger 2nd the motion.
All in attendance unanimously agreed.

Ms. Eggleston made a motion to accept the September 2020 meeting minutes,
Ms. Black 2nd the motion.
All in attendance unanimously agreed.

Ms. Eggleston made a motion to accept the August 2020 meeting minutes,
Ms. Black 2nd the motion.
All in attendance unanimously agreed.

Ms. Eggleston made a motion to accept the July 2020 meeting minutes,
Mr. Basinger 2nd the motion.
All in attendance unanimously agreed.

Town of Northumberland
Planning Board
Location; Town Hall
Accepted by the Planning Board
Monday, February 08, 2021
7:00 pm
Page 9 of 9

Mr. Reinemann made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 9:45 pm,
Ms. Black 2nd the motion,
All in attendance unanimously agreed.

Respectfully Submitted,
Tia Kilburn, Planning Board Clerk